

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/880,612	MUKAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoa Q. Pham	2877	

All Participants:

(1) Hoa Q. Pham.

(2) Mr. Davis Zoetewey.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-3

Prior art documents discussed:

Ikeda et al and Nishino et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In order to expedite the prosecution of the application, applicant agrees to amend claims 1-4 so that the claims are in condition for allowance (see examiner's amendment)

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)